

U.S. Appln. No. 09/935,859
Reply to Office Action dated August 31, 2005

PATENT
450100-03421

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of the application are respectfully requested in view of the amendments and remarks herewith, which place the application into condition for allowance. The present amendment is being made to facilitate prosecution of the application.

I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS AND FORMAL MATTERS

Claims 1-5 are currently pending. Claims 1-5, which are hereby amended, are independent. Support for this amendment is provided throughout the Specification as originally filed, specifically at pages 14 and 15. No new matter has been introduced. Changes to claims are not made for the purpose of patentability within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §101, §102, §103, or §112. Rather, these changes are made simply for clarification and to round out the scope of protection to which Applicant is entitled.

II. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102(b)

Claims 1-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,714,797 to Rautila.

Claim 1 recites, *inter alia*:

“...supporting a preview of the retrieved electronic content...”
(emphasis added)

As understood by Applicant, U.S. Patent No. 6,714,797 to Rautila (hereinafter, merely “Rautila”) relates to ordering, paying for, and downloading digital products to a mobile device in a cost effective manner. The system includes a short range transceiver and network transceiver. The mobile device accesses electronic shop server web sites which contain digital

U.S. Appln. No. 09/935,859
Reply to Office Action dated August 31, 2005

PATENT
450100-03421

products for sale and hot spot network locations where there digital products may be downloaded.

Applicant submits that nothing has been found in Rautila that would teach or suggest the above-identified features of claim 1. Specifically, Applicant submits that Rautila fails to teach or suggest supporting a preview of the retrieved electronic content, as recited in claim 1.

Therefore, Applicant submits that claim 1 is patentable.

Independent claims 2-5 are similar in scope and believed to be patentable for similar reasons.

CONCLUSION

In the event the Examiner disagrees with any of statements appearing above with respect to the disclosure in the cited reference, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner specifically indicate those portions of the reference providing the basis for a contrary view.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is believed that all of the claims in this application are patentable and Applicant respectfully requests early passage to issue of the present application.


U.S. Appln. No. 09/935,859
Reply to Office Action dated August 31, 2005

PATENT
450100-03421

Please charge any additional fees that may be needed, and credit any
overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 50-0320.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP
Attorneys for Applicant

By 
Thomas F. Presson
Reg. No. 41,442
(212) 588-0800